UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/537,947	02/16/2006 Sylvain Franger		10404.024.00	6876		
	7590 08/11/201 DNG & ALDRIDGE L	EXAMINER				
1900 K STREE	T, NW	MARTINEZ, BRITTANY M				
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1793			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			08/11/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/537,947	FRANGER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	E	RITTANY M. MARTINE	ΕZ	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this commu	unication appear	s on the cover sheet v	with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 July 2010</u> FAILS TO PLA	CE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION	N FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but application, applicant must timely file one of application in condition for allowance; (2) a for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete periods:</li> </ol>	ut prior to or on th of the following rep n Notice of Appeal	e same day as filing a N plies: (1) an amendmen (with appeal fee) in cor	Notice of A t, affidavit	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from	the mailing date of	the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mail no event, however, will the statutory period Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, checked MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See	ling date of this Adv for reply expire late either box (a) or (b). e MPEP 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date r than SIX MONTHS from ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W	the mailing VHEN THE	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.1: have been filed is the date for purposes of determining under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expira set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received b may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3 NOTICE OF APPEAL	g the period of exten ation date of the sho by the Office later the	sion and the correspondin rtened statutory period for	g amount o reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply I	a)), or any extensi	on thereof (37 CFR 41.	37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a	final rejection, but	nrior to the date of filin	a a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would red (b) They raise the issue of new matter (s	quire further consi	deration and/or search	-		oddoo
(c) They are not deemed to place the ap appeal; and/or			_		ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without the NOTE: (See 27 CFR 1 146)	_	responding number of f	finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 4.	,	See attached Notice o	f Non-Coı	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. 🛛 Applicant's reply has overcome the followi					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed ame how the new or amended claims would be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as the Claim(s) allowed:	rejected is provid		b) 🔼 will	l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>29,30,34,36-47,49,52-68</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>8 and 76</u> .				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<del></del>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a because applicant failed to provide a show was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.</li> </ol>	ing of good and s				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the entered because the affidavit or other evidence showing a good and sufficient reasons why</li> </ol>	ence failed to ove	rcome <u>all</u> rejections und	der appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	. An explanation o	of the status of the claim	ns after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been	considered but d	oes NOT place the app	lication in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure</i> 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	Statement(s). (P	ΓO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	·		
		/Wayne Lange Primary Examin		nit 1793	

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 35 U.S.C. 102/103 rejection of Claims 69-75 over Neudecker et al. (US 2004/0048157 A1).

Continuation of 13. Other: The rejections(s) of Claims 29,30, 34, 36-47, 49, 52-68 and 76 of the previous Office action stand. Applicants' arguments filed July 30, 2010, are not convincing. In particular, Applicants' argument that Stoker fails to disclose an organic complex of a transition metal and, in fact, discloses an inorganic compound (Applicants' Response, 7/30/2010, p. 7-10) is not convincing because, as Applicants point out (Applicants' Response, 7/30/2010, p. 8), Stoker teaches acetates (which are organic) as possible sources of the transition metals (Stoker, 0080).